



**800 MHz TRANSITION ADMINISTRATOR, LLC
QUARTERLY PROGRESS REPORT
FOR THE QUARTER ENDED JUNE 30, 2005**

August 10, 2005

Dan Head, Managing Director

BearingPoint, Inc.
1676 International Drive
McLean, VA 22102
T: 703.747.8790
M: 703.929.4909

Daniel.Head@BearingPoint.com

Brett Haan, Managing Director

BearingPoint, Inc.
1676 International Drive
McLean, VA 22102
T: 703.747.4968
M: 202.360.9616

Brett.Haan@BearingPoint.com

John Bush, Managing Director

BearingPoint, Inc.
1676 International Drive
McLean, VA 22102
T: 703.747.8793
M: 703-628-2874

John.Bush@BearingPoint.com

Robert B. Kelly, Partner

Squire, Sanders & Dempsey L.L.P.
1201 Pennsylvania Ave., NW
Washington, DC 20044
T: 202.626.6216
F: 202.626.6780

rkelly@ssd.com

Douglas L. Povich, Partner

Squire, Sanders & Dempsey L.L.P.
8000 Towers Crescent Dr. 14th Floor
Tysons Corner, VA 22182
T: 703.720.7888
F: 703.720.7801

dpovich@ssd.com

Alan J. (Joe) Boyer, President

Baseline Telecom, Inc.
2770 Arapahoe Road, Suite 132 - #133
Lafayette, CO 80026
T: 303.444.1480
888.361.0603

JBoyer@BaselineTelecom.Com

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
OVERVIEW	1
I. COMMUNICATIONS WITH STAKEHOLDERS	2
A. Stakeholder Inquiries	2
B. TA-Produced Materials.....	3
C. Outreach Events and TA-Sponsored Education and Training	5
II. RECONFIGURATION PROGRESS	5
A. Summary.....	5
1. Licenses to be Reconfigured.....	5
2. Special/Unique Reconfiguration Considerations	7
B. Reconfiguration Milestone Status	7
C. Reconfiguration Contract Review	8
D. Reconfiguration Certifications	9
E. Reconfiguration FCC Applications	9
F. Frequency Proposals.....	10
G. EA Elections	11
III. ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION	11
A. Description of ADR Program	11
B. Current ADR Activities.....	12
IV. FINANCIAL.....	12
A. Payment Process.....	12
B. External Auditor Selection.....	12
C. Letter of Credit	12
V. TRANSITION ADMINISTRATOR	13
A. Agreements	13
B. Fees and Costs	13
C. Disclosure of Non-Reconfiguration Fees.....	13
VI. CONCLUSION	13
APPENDIX 1: Stakeholder Outreach Activities: Meetings and Conferences Attended by TA Representatives In Past Quarter and Through July 31, 2005	

APPENDIX 2:	Current Population of Call Signs (1-120, NPSPAC and Expansion Band, and SE-ESMR ESMR Channels), Per Wave, Per Region
APPENDIX 3:	Status of Reconfiguration for Licensees in Channels 1-120: Key Milestones Completed by Number of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreements, Per Wave, Per Region
APPENDIX 4:	Status of Reconfiguration for Licensees in Channels 1-120: Key Milestones Completed by Number of Call Signs, Per Wave, Per Region
APPENDIX 5:	Status of Reconfiguration Contract Review, Per Wave, Per Region
APPENDIX 6:	TA Fees and Costs

OVERVIEW

800 MHz Transition Administrator, LLC (“TA LLC”) provides its first Quarterly Progress Report to the Federal Communications Commission (“FCC”) regarding the Transition Administrator’s (“TA”) efforts to date as the manager of the reconfiguration of the 800 MHz band.¹ Pursuant to the FCC’s *Reconfiguration Orders*,² the TA is required to report on a quarterly basis the progress of band reconfiguration. This Progress Report describes the progress of band reconfiguration for the quarter ended June 30, 2005, with certain reconfiguration status presented as of July 31, 2005.³

The primary activities of the TA in the past quarter have been to prepare for the start of reconfiguration, which formally began as scheduled on June 27, 2005. Prior to that date, for example, the TA developed and distributed frequency plans to licensees in Wave 1. The TA also conducted and appeared at many industry and association conferences, seminars and other outreach events attended by 800 MHz stakeholders. The TA prepared and distributed many informational and educational materials for affected licensees. EA license elections were solicited and received in the past quarter. Working with Nextel, the TA developed the payment process governing payments to licensees by Nextel for reconfiguration expenditures. In addition, the TA has continued to receive and respond to multiple inquiries from licensees and stakeholders on a daily basis.

¹ 800 MHz Transition Administrator, LLC (“TA LLC”) is the Transition Administrator (“TA”) for the reconfiguration of the 800 MHz band mandated by the Federal Communications Commission (“FCC”). TA LLC has contracted with BearingPoint, Inc. (“BearingPoint” or “BE”), Squire, Sanders & Dempsey L.L.P. (“Squire, Sanders”) and Baseline Telecom, Inc. (“BTI”) (each a “TA Member” and collectively “TA Members”) to perform the duties of the TA.

² See *Improving Public Safety Communications in the 800 MHz Band*, Report and Order, Fifth Report and Order, Fourth Memorandum Opinion and Order, 19 FCC Rcd 14969 (2004) (“*Report and Order*”), as amended by *Erratum*, WT Docket No. 02-55 (rel. Sept. 10, 2004); *Second Erratum*, 19 FCC Rcd 19651 (2004); *Public Notice*, “Commission Seeks Comment on Ex Parte Presentations and Extends Certain Deadlines Regarding the 800 MHz Public Safety Interference Proceeding,” 19 FCC Rcd 21492 (2004); *Third Erratum*, 19 FCC Rcd 21818 (2004); *Supplemental Order and Order on Reconsideration*, 19 FCC Rcd 25120 (2004); (“*Supplemental Order*”); *Erratum*, WT Docket No. 02-55 (rel. Jan. 19, 2005) (collectively, “*Reconfiguration Orders*”).

³ The *Report and Order* specifies that the TA is to provide quarterly progress reports regarding the progress of reconfiguration once reconfiguration in a given NPSPAC region begins. *Report and Order*, 19 FCC Rcd at 15037, ¶ 196. The FCC further specified that the quarterly reports are to include the TA’s expenses and salary. *Id.* at 15123, ¶ 327. (This further requirement, however, does not appear in Rule 90.757(b)(3). Nonetheless, the TA intends to provide this information in each quarterly progress report.) In a separate filing, the TA requested that the filing schedule for its annual reports be modified to a calendar year-end schedule. See *Motion of 800 MHz Transition Administrator, LLC to Revise the Annual Progress Reporting Schedule*, WT Docket No. 02-55 (filed July 17, 2005). (This motion is pending.)

The first section of the report describes the multiple outreach and education activities of the TA to 800 MHz licensees and other stakeholders affected by reconfiguration. The next section discusses the status and progress of band reconfiguration. This section reports, for example, on the progress of Nextel/licensee contract negotiations, the TA's review of finished contracts, frequency proposals, and EA elections. The third section describes the TA's Alternative Dispute Resolution ("ADR") program. Section four discusses financial matters associated with reconfiguration. Section five reports on the TA's fees and costs.

I. COMMUNICATIONS WITH STAKEHOLDERS

The TA communications with stakeholders account for both proactive communications initiated by the TA ("Stakeholder Outreach") and reactive communications to inquiries submitted by the affected community. Stakeholder Outreach includes the development and publication of communication materials (print and electronic) designed to disseminate and share information about the 800 MHz Reconfiguration Program, the process and the Regional Prioritization Plan ("RPP") schedule with licensees and other affected community members. In addition to the printed and electronic communications materials, the TA has participated in several conferences and symposiums to interact with and educate licensees on the RPP and the Reconfiguration Process. To further equip licensees on the process, the TA has launched an educational series in July 2005 to facilitate access to knowledge sharing opportunities without requiring licensees to travel to conference/symposium locations. This series will be delivered via a Web seminar ("Webinar") format over the Internet. This multi-pronged Stakeholder Outreach effort enables the TA to address the differing needs of the affected community (by addressing their questions, concerns, and equipping them to reconfigure their networks) and facilitate licensee preparation in meeting the requirements of the RPP.

A. Stakeholder Inquiries

The TA has established a "Contact Center" to receive and process questions, requests for information, etc., regarding reconfiguration and the TA's activities. The Contact Center is staffed by trained call agents to answer inquiries or direct them to the appropriate TA resource for a response. Each inquiry, whether received by e-mail, phone or facsimile, is documented and retained by the Contact Center and tracked until "closed" if a response is implicated. In order to categorize and respond efficiently to licensee inquiries, the Contact Center utilizes the categories and descriptions below. (Sample questions are also included.)

Reconfiguration & Relocation: Answers for this category of questions describe the basics of the Reconfiguration Project. Sample questions include: "What is the 800 MHz Band Reconfiguration Project?" "Who needs to reconfigure in the 800 MHz band?" and "Why did the FCC order a reconfiguration of the 800 MHz band?"

The TA: Answers for this category of questions introduce Stakeholders to the TA and describe the basics of the TA's role within the Reconfiguration Project. Sample questions include: "Who is the Transition Administrator?" and "What is the role of the Transition Administrator?"

Reconfiguration & Relocation: Answers for this category of questions introduce Stakeholders to the plan that the TA is using for the reconfiguration project. Sample questions include: “What is the Regional Prioritization Plan?” and “What are ‘Waves?’”

Negotiations: This category of questions indicates a Stakeholder already has some familiarity with the overall Reconfiguration Project. Sample question include: “Who will pay for the reconfiguration?” “Will funding be available to licensees for hiring engineering and legal assistance in planning and executing system reconfigurations?” and “What is the process or method of payment?”

Reconfiguration Planning and Process Guidelines: This category of questions indicates a Stakeholder is already familiar with the overall Reconfiguration Project. Sample questions include: “What are the steps involved in the reconfiguration process?” “How can I eliminate downtime during the reconfiguration process?” and “What communications can I expect from the TA on reconfiguration?”

Frequency Assignments: This category of questions indicates issues regarding the licensees’ new frequency assignments. Sample questions include: “Where will my new frequency be located?”

In the past quarter, the TA received a total of 443 inquiries to the Contact Center (104 in April; 203 in May; and 136 in June). The TA’s general policy is to respond to most inquiries within 24 hours of receipt, except in those few instances where a response may require additional research.

B. TA-Produced Materials

In anticipation of the start of reconfiguration on June 27, 2005, the TA distributed many items to stakeholders regarding the reconfiguration process, including licensee forms, TA press releases, and other material, as listed below. (Items posted on the TA’s website are indicated.)

Reconfiguration Background and General Information (all available on the TA’s website):

- Overview Brochure – Tri-fold brochure that provides an overview of the reconfiguration program, TA, RPP and schedule, negotiation process and reconfiguration costs. Over 2600 copies have been distributed at conferences and events.
- Quick Reference Guide – A 20-page booklet that provides an overview of the Band Reconfiguration and planning steps for licensees to prepare for relocation, as well as information on the RPP and important contact information. The guide has been distributed to all Wave 1 licensees (via the addresses available in the Universal Licensing System (“ULS”) database). Over 950 copies have also been distributed at conferences and events.
- Reconfiguration Handbook – A 62 page summary book providing an overview of reconfiguration, the RPP, reconfiguration phases, and detailed guidance on planning for reconfiguration, as well as TA contact information. Print version available on demand.

Release1.0 released in April and then updated in June in Release1.1. Over 150 requests for printed copies have been distributed to the affected community.

- Frequency Proposal Report Fact Sheet – A five-page background summary of the Frequency Proposal Report (“FPR”) distributed to reconfiguring licensees regarding the exchange of their existing 800 MHz frequencies to proposed new frequencies.

Forms (all available on the TA’s website):

- Point of Contact (“POC”) Form – Form for licensees to provide TA with primary and secondary contacts for reconfiguration to allow timely and accurate dissemination of information.
- Request for Planning Funding Form (“RFPF”) – Form for licensees to request funding from Nextel for planning for the 800 MHz reconfiguration prior to incurring the costs.
- Request for TA to Communicate with Nextel Form – Form for licensees to ask the TA to assist in the transmission of documents and other information to and from Nextel, during which the TA will act as a “conduit” of information.
- Guard Band Election Form – Form for licensees to request to move their channels in the Guard Band.
- Expansion Band Election Form – Form for licensees to request their channels in the Expansion Band to remain in the Expansion Band.

Licensee Mailings:

- “Information Package” mailed to Wave 1 licensees on May 3, 2005, included cover letter, Quick Reference Guide, POC Form with a self addressed envelope and RFPF.
- Frequency Proposal Reports mailed to Wave 1, Stage 1 (Channels 1-120 and Expansion Band) licensees starting on June 13, 2005, and continuing as frequency proposals are reviewed and approved.

The TA has also engaged in other outreach efforts. For example, it wrote an article on reconfiguration that appeared in the April edition of the United Telecom Council Journal. The article introduced the rationale and purpose for reconfiguration, the scope of the effort, the role of the TA, the RPP, potential licensee impact and financial issues. Several standard TA presentations have also been developed on the reconfiguration process and step-by-step guidance for licensees on planning activities.

www.800TA.org:

The TA’s website is a significant component of the Stakeholder Outreach efforts. It provides easy access to a variety of information for the affected community. The site includes salient details about the 800 MHz Reconfiguration Program, links to FCC and other related sites, press releases, Webinar registration, event schedules and reconfiguration guidance. The TA’s website was relaunched on July 15, 2005. From April 1 through July 31, 2005, the site received over 20,250 total hits.

C. Outreach Events and TA-Sponsored Education and Training

Meetings and conferences attended by TA representatives in past quarter and through July 31, 2005 are provided in Appendix 1.

In August, September and October 2005, the TA will be attending additional meetings, including the APCO (“Association of Public-Safety Communications Officials – International) Annual Conference, the AASHTO (“America Association of State Highway & Transportation Officials”) Annual Meeting, CPRA (“California Public Radio Association”) Meeting, IACP (“International Association of Chiefs of Police”) Annual Conference, NASTD (“National Association of State Telecommunications Directors”) Conference and ICMA (“International City/County Management Association”) Annual Conference.

The TA has also organized several web-based training seminars (“Webinars”) on the various facets of reconfiguration for affected licensees. Two programs took place on July 27 and 28, 2005; two took place on August 3 and 4, 2005; and another four are planned for September 21, 22, 28 and 29, 2005. The two sessions conducted in July included 45 participants and the two sessions in August included 58 participants.

II. RECONFIGURATION PROGRESS

A. Summary

On June 27, 2005, the reconfiguration process officially commenced for the 15 NPSPAC Regions in Wave 1.⁴ While the focus is on Wave 1 licensees, Nextel and the TA have also been engaged with Wave 2 and later licensees on a voluntary basis. This date also established the 18, 30 and 36 month reconfiguration benchmark compliance dates as specified in the *Reconfiguration Orders*.⁵

1. Licenses to be Reconfigured

The table below provides the TA’s understanding of the current population of call signs per wave as defined in the TA’s RPP. The source of this data is the FCC’s ULS database. This data is used to define the population of licenses that need to be addressed in the reconfiguration, and will be updated, as necessary, to reflect changes made to the ULS database.⁶

⁴ *Public Notice*, “Wireless Telecommunications Bureau Announces That 800 MHz Band Reconfiguration Will Commence June 27, 2005 In NPSPAC Regions Assigned To Wave 1 And Specifics 800 MHz Reconfiguration Benchmark Dates,” 20 FCC Rcd 9961 (rel. May 27, 2005).

⁵ *See Report and Order*, 19 FCC Rcd at 15076, ¶ 201; *Supplemental Order*, 19 FCC Rcd at 25143-44, ¶ 53.

⁶ This table includes site-specific call signs (non-EA) with fixed locations above 851 MHz. It does not include Nextel or Southern Company call signs. There are a number of call signs with

Table 1: Current Population of Call Signs, Per Wave

	Channel 1-120	Public Safety Expansion Band	NPSPAC Channel	SE-ESMR ESMR Band	Total
Wave	Number of Call Signs				
Wave 1	891	496	1,027	0	2,414
Wave 2	738	319	501	16	1,574
Wave 3	413	250	610	250	1,523
Wave 4	857	368	1,240	0	2,465
TOTAL	2,899	1,433	3,378	266	7,976

Notes:

- Data for Channel 1-120 call signs does not include 87 call signs in Wave 1 that were under contract with Nextel prior to the start of reconfiguration and that are not going to be submitted to the TA for review and approval.
- Data includes call signs in the international border area. Data may change depending on treaty negotiation outcomes.
- The current population of call signs will be reduced by any call signs that cancel without a Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement,⁷ and incremented for any call signs added through pending applications..

Appendix 2 contains additional information on current population of call signs per regions per waves.

The TA has made certain assumptions regarding the population of licenses to be addressed in reconfiguration. First, for spectrum planning purposes, the TA has assumed that all public safety licensees in the Expansion Band will relocate. The number of licensees that will be reconfigured will be adjusted as the TA receives election decisions from incumbent licensees opting not to reconfigure. Second, mobile-only systems and other secondary licenses (itinerant and temporary) are not being reconfigured. Third, licenses under contract for voluntary reconfiguration agreements prior to May 27, 2005 are not included in the totals. Fourth, the call sign figures in this report include active call signs. The current population of call signs will be reduced by any call signs that cancel without a Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement (“FRA”). Finally, the TA and Nextel have jointly defined milestones to track the status of ongoing reconfiguration activities at the licensee level.

fixed locations licensed in the 806-824 MHz range that will be reconfigured in association with related call signs that are included in the counts.

⁷ Licensees may independently cancel licenses or let them expire without entering into an FRA. TA, Nextel and industry outreach efforts may also contribute to encouraging licensees no longer using licenses to unilaterally cancel them.

2. Special/Unique Reconfiguration Considerations

There are a limited number of entities that span multiple regions and waves. In these cases, as described in the RPP, multi-region entities will typically begin reconfiguration during the first wave in which they have systems.

Nextel and Motorola have successfully negotiated a software development agreement to update the software in a number of older radio models. It is expected that the successful completion of the development will eliminate the need to replace hundreds of thousands of radios. The TA monitored the progress of the negotiation and provided input to the parties as requested. The software is to be completed in April, 2006.

B. Reconfiguration Milestone Status

As of July 31, 2005, no call sign reconfigurations per a contract have been completed, and all 2899 call signs in Channel 1-120 are still remaining. In the subsequent reports, the TA will report on the number of completed Channel 1-120 call sign reconfigurations by NPSPAC region.

Reconfiguration started on June 27, 2005. In the quarter ended June 30, 2005, significant effort was invested by Nextel and the TA to establish a standard form FRA. The volume of Channel 1-120 reconfiguration is expected to increase in the upcoming quarters. The TA is closely monitoring the progress of the reconfigurations against the initial forecast provided by Nextel. By July 31, 2005, the TA had received 59 FRAs from Nextel for review and approval, of which 34 contracts were for Wave 1 licensees. The TA has approved a total of 48 FRAs, of which 26 FRAs are from Wave 1 licensees. The remaining 11 FRAs were still under review by the TA as of July 31, 2005.

The initial step in the reconfiguration is to establish contact with the licensees. Nextel has experienced difficulties in locating 10-15 licensees in Channel 1-120 due to inaccurate or out-of-date licensee data regarding FCC licenses. Both Nextel and the TA are determining appropriate next steps for locating these licensees.

In the quarter ended June 30, 2005, one licensee has requested TA facilitation in communicating with Nextel. Additionally, Nextel has made two requests to the TA to facilitate discussions with incumbents. The TA is currently reviewing the details behind each of these facilitation requests and is in the process of communicating with these licensees.

Table 2 below provides a summary view of the number of FRAs currently being negotiated between Nextel and incumbents.

Table 2: Status of Reconfiguration for Licensees in Channels 1-120
as of July 31, 2005 (achieved milestones by number of FRAs)

Wave	Number of FRAs - Current Population	Nextel Initiated Contact with Licensee	Nextel and Licensee Reach Pre-Contract Agreement	Nextel Submits Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement to TA	TA Approves Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement	Reconfiguration Certification Verified by TA
		Number of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreements (FRAs)				
Wave 1	390	377	111	34	26	0
Wave 2	256	193	49	19	17	0
Wave 3	182	15	10	4	4	0
Wave 4	163	16	6	2	1	0
TOTAL	991	601	176	59	48	0

Notes:

- Nextel is data source for the first three columns. The figures have not been verified by the TA.
- Current population of FRAs will be adjusted during the reconfiguration process.

Detailed tables providing the current status of reconfigurations – broken out both by the number of FRAs per region per wave, and the number of call signs per region per wave – are attached to this report in Appendices 3 and 4, respectively.

As of July 31, 2005, no Requests for Planning Funding had been received by the TA from Nextel.

C. Reconfiguration Contract Review

Through July 31, 2005, the TA has developed an understanding of the circumstances unique to 800 MHz reconfiguration contract negotiation and review. The TA anticipates leveraging this understanding to handle a higher volume of FRAs in upcoming quarters.

Table 3 below provides an overview of the elapsed time required by the TA to review and approve FRAs submitted to the TA by Nextel. The timeframes include the business days required by the TA to review both the contract initially submitted to the TA as well as additional information requested by the TA and subsequently provided by Nextel, *i.e.*, requests for additional information. During this initial period of contract review, the TA has required additional information from Nextel on approximately 39% of the FRAs submitted, including seven of the eight FRAs in the six-10 days review category. The majority of FRAs submitted to the TA were approved within five business days.

Table 3: TA Reconfiguration Contract Review Timeframes (in Business Days)
as of July 31, 2005

Wave	1-5 Days from Receipt	6-10 Days from Receipt	11-15 Days from Receipt	16-20 Days from Receipt	21 Days or More from Receipt	TOTAL
	Number of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreements (FRAs)					
Wave 1	24	2	0	0	0	26
Wave 2	12	5	0	0	0	17
Wave 3	3	1	0	0	0	4
Wave 4	1	0	0	0	0	1
Total for Waves 1-4	40	8	0	0	0	48

Additional information regarding the status of reconfiguration contract review (on a per region, per wave basis), is attached as Appendix 5.

D. Reconfiguration Certifications

As of July 31, 2005, there have been no reconfiguration certifications submitted to or verified by the TA. The TA will provide a progress status of reconfiguration certifications in subsequent reports.

E. Reconfiguration FCC Applications

The TA has been working with the FCC staff in Gettysburg, PA to define and implement data transfers to authenticate reconfiguration related applications. The following table summarizes the status of reconfiguration applications before the FCC.

Table 4: Reconfiguration FCC Application Milestones for 1-120 Channel Call Signs
as of July 31, 2005

Public Safety Region	Current Population	Call Signs with Reconfiguration Applications Submitted to FCC	Call Signs with Reconfiguration Applications Granted	Call Signs with Surrender Applications Submitted to FCC	Call Signs with Surrender Applications Granted
	Number of Call Signs				
Wave 1	891	22	4	4	0
Wave 2	738	15	1	1	0
Wave 3	413	2	2	0	0
Wave 4	857	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	2899	39	7	5	0

Notes:

- Data for Channel 1-120 call signs does not include 87 call signs in Wave 1 that were under contract with Nextel prior to the start of reconfiguration and that are not going to be submitted to the TA for review and approval.
- Data includes call signs in the international border area. Data may change depending on treaty negotiation outcomes.

- c. The current population of call signs will be reduced by any call signs that cancel without an FRA,⁸ and incremented for any call signs added through pending applications

Appendix 4 also contains additional information regarding the TA's reconfiguration FCC application milestones (on a per region basis) as of July 31, 2005.

F. Frequency Proposals

The TA started frequency planning in late April 2005 and started delivering Frequency Proposal Reports with proposed replacement frequencies and certain co-channel information to Wave 1 licensees in mid June. By the start of the reconfiguration for Wave 1 on June 27, 2005, 74 percent of Wave 1 licensees with channels in the 851-854 MHz band had been sent proposals.⁹

Certain proposals were delayed or unable to be processed due to:

- Resolution of co-channel distance and other technical issues with Nextel regarding the initial frequency proposed. As these issues resolved proposals have been processed and sent.
- Pending applications to be granted that materially affected technical parameters. As these applications were granted proposals have been sent.
- Resolution of certain policy questions.
- Negotiations between Nextel and incumbents relating to ESMR elections.
- The system is licensed in the Canadian border region.

As of August 1, 2005, 81 percent of the FPRs had been sent; 16 percent were held up for international border, ESMR or Guard Band issues. The remaining 3 percent (28 call signs) are currently being reviewed or in process of resolving technical issues with Nextel.

The TA has also started sending frequency proposals to Wave 1 Public Safety Expansion Band licensees. Most of these frequencies will be reconfigured in the same timeframe as the NPSPAC channels following the clearing of 851-854 MHz, and thus were processed subsequent to 851-854 MHz proposals. By August 1, 2005, 74 percent of these licensees have been sent their frequency proposals. Approximately 24 percent remain to finalize proposals, with the majority to be processed in California, which is in planning. The remaining two percent are

⁸ Licensees may independently cancel licenses or let them expire without entering into an FRA. TA, Nextel and industry outreach efforts may also contribute to encouraging licensees no longer using licenses to unilaterally cancel them.

⁹ These percentages are net of call signs already under contract with Nextel prior to the May 27, 2005 application freeze that had not yet had applications granted removing frequencies requiring configuration.

either already under contract or in process of resolving technical issues with Nextel regarding their processed frequency.

G. EA Elections

Pursuant to its Press Release of April 21, 2005,¹⁰ the TA received 13 EA elections by the stated May 13, 2005 deadline. A summary of these elections with was filed with the FCC on July 15, 2005.

III. ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION

A. Description of ADR Program

As directed in the Reconfiguration Orders, the TA has established an Alternative Dispute Resolution program to assist in the resolution of disputes between 800 MHz stakeholders that arise during the reconfiguration process. The TA is also given the authority to “mediate any disputes that may arise in the course of band reconfiguration; or refer the disputant parties to alternative dispute resolution fora.” The ADR program has been developed and will be managed by the TA’s General Counsel.

In summary, the ADR program provides that the TA will assist in the resolution of disputes. The TA cannot unilaterally bind Nextel or any incumbent to any obligation associated with reconfiguration. The TA may, in its discretion, direct a TA Mediator to mediate negotiations between the parties during the "voluntary" period if both parties request mediation. During the subsequent “mandatory” negotiation period, either party may request the TA to mediate a relocation agreement. In addition, if a reconfiguring licensee and Nextel fail to complete a TA-approved reconfiguration agreement during the voluntary or mandatory negotiation periods, the parties will be required to participate in a 30-day mediation process designed to help the parties reach a reconfiguration agreement. The mediation will be conducted by a TA Mediator. If, however, the parties are unable to reach agreement, the TA is directed to forward to the FCC the ADR record, “together with advice on how the matter(s) may be resolved.”

800 MHz licensees affected by reconfiguration also may ask the TA to help resolve other disputes related to the reconfiguration. Examples of disputes that may be referred to the TA include:

- Disputes related to reconfiguration negotiations with Nextel or other relevant stakeholders
- Disputes related to interference or other problems experienced due to the reconfiguration
- Disputes related to comparable facilities
- Disputes arising out of the performance of reconfiguration agreements

¹⁰ See 800 MHz Transition Administrator *Ex Parte* Notification, WT Docket No. 02-55 (filed April 21, 2005) (attaching Press Release).

A description of the ADR program will be posted on the TA's website for access by licensees and other stakeholders.

B. Current ADR Activities

There have been no requests for TA mediation or other ADR-related activities as of July 31, 2005.

IV. FINANCIAL

A. Payment Process

In the quarter ended June 30, 2005, the TA developed and coordinated with Nextel the payment process ("Payment Process") governing the logistics of Nextel's payments to licensees for reconfiguration expenditures.

For the quarter ended June 30, 2005 and subsequently through July 31, 2005, Nextel has made all payments to licensees and vendors within 30 days of the relevant Payment Obligation Date, as defined in the Payment Process and as required by the *Report and Order*. As of June 30, 2005, no licensee reconfigurations have been completed. Accordingly, the TA has not yet performed any final reviews of amounts expended on licensee reconfigurations.

B. External Auditor Selection

The TA is soliciting bids for an external auditor to conduct the annual audits of 800 MHz expenditures. With prior approval from Nextel and the FCC, the TA expects to select an external auditor in either the third quarter or fourth quarter of 2005.

C. Letter of Credit

For the quarter ended June 30, 2005, and subsequently through July 31, 2005, Nextel had made all its required payments to licensees and vendors within 30 days of the relevant Payment Obligation Date. Accordingly, there has been no need to draw on the Letter of Credit through July 31, 2005. As of June 30, 2005, given the immateriality of actual payments made for incumbent reconfigurations, the TA does not recommend a reduction in the Letter of Credit at this time. There is no indication at this time that the Letter of Credit balance is insufficient to cover the costs of reconfiguration or that the Letter of Credit should be increased. The TA will reassess the need to increase or reduce the Letter of Credit in the quarterly progress report to be filed for the quarter ending September 30, 2005.

The TA is coordinating with Nextel to develop a timeline by which Nextel will provide its reconfiguration forecast, together with detailed support and underlying assumptions, for TA review.

V. TRANSITION ADMINISTRATOR

A. Agreements

As of June 9, 2005, the Trust, Transition Administrator and Tri-Party Agreements were finalized and executed.

B. Fees and Costs

The TA's fees and costs for the quarter ending June 30, 2005 and from its inception through June 30, 2005 are provided in the attached Appendix 6. The TA's staffing levels are included. A forecast of these fees and costs for the upcoming quarter is also provided.

C. Disclosure of Non-Reconfiguration Fees

In accordance with the TA's Independence Management Plan,¹¹ the TA reports that, in the previous quarter, BE received \$2,464,288.72 from Nextel in non-TA fees.

VI. CONCLUSION

Reconfiguration commenced as scheduled on June 27, 2005. The TA spent much of the quarter ended June 30, 2005 undertaking the planning required to prepare for the start of reconfiguration and to support the reconfiguration process once underway. The TA expects it will refine its processes and procedures as it gains experience and insight into the reconfiguration effort. The TA also expects that the level of reconfiguration activity will increase as Nextel and more licensees in Wave 1 complete negotiations and submit their contracts to the TA for review and approval. The TA's ADR plan has been finalized, and the TA is likely to begin receiving requests in the next quarter to "facilitate," "mediate" and act as an "intermediary" in disputes between Nextel, licensees and other stakeholders. Frequency planning for Wave 2 has already begun.

The TA will also continue its stakeholder outreach and training efforts. The TA proposes to submit its next Quarterly Progress Report on November 10, 2005, regarding the progress of reconfiguration through the quarter ending September 30, 2005.

In sum, the TA is confident that it has the necessary processes and management structure in place to support the expected increase in reconfiguration activity.

¹¹ Independence Management Plan for the 800 MHz Transition Administrator Team Members (Version 1.1), WT Docket No. 02-55, 4 (filed May 9, 2005).

APPENDIX 1

Stakeholder Outreach Activities: Meetings and Conferences Attended by TA Representatives In Past Quarter and Through July 31, 2005

April:

- IWCE (International Wireless Communication Expo)APCO (Association of Public-Safety Communications Officials – International) Mid-Eastern Chapter Meeting
- APCO Oklahoma Chapter Annual Meeting
- APCO 800 MHz Symposium (Portland, OR)

May:

- UTC (Utilities Telecommunication Council) Annual Meeting
- APCO International 2005 East Coast Regional Conference
- NAPCO (Northern California Chapter of APCO) Training Conference
- EDACs (Enhanced Digital Access Communication Systems)/M/A-COM Users Group Morning
- APCO 800 MHz Symposium (Chicago, IL)
- New Jersey 800 MHz Rebanding Symposium
- Connecticut Department of Public Safety 800 MHz Meeting
- IACP (International Association of Chiefs of Police) 29th Annual Law Enforcement Information Management Conference

June:

- NASTD (National Association of State Telecommunications Directors) Meeting
- NPSPAC Region 19 Planning Meeting (Concord, NH)
- APCO 800 MHz Symposium (Albany, NY)
- New England MTUG 800 MHz Meeting (Marlborough, MA)
- Chester County, PA Meeting (to review progress associated with incumbent manufacturer's replacement equipment)
- NPSTC (National Public Safety Telecommunications Council) Government Board Meeting
- NPSPAC (National Public Safety Planning Advisory Committee) Region #19 Public Safety Meeting
- Commonwealth of Virginia 800 MHz Rebanding Symposium

July

- NPSPAC Region 40 800 MHz Planning Meeting
- NAPCO Chapter Meeting
- CPRA (California Public Radio Association) Meeting
- Virginia Information Technologies Agency (VITA)

APPENDIX 2

Current Population of Call Signs (1-120, NPSPAC and Expansion Band, and SE-ESMR ESMR Channels), Per Wave, Per Region

(follows)

Current Population of Call Signs, Per Wave, Per Region, As of July 31, 2005

Public Safety Region	PSR Name	Channel 1-120	Public Safety Expansion Band	NPSPAC Channel	SE-ESMR ESMR Band	Total
		Number of Call Signs				
Wave 1	Subtotal	891	496	1027	0	2414
6	CA - North	116	115	107	0	338
7	Colorado	27	18	119	0	164
8	NY - Metro (CT, NJ, NY, PA)	99	49	107	0	255
11	Hawaii	69	7	15	0	91
13	Illinois	45	28	47	0	120
14	Indiana	29	45	62	0	136
19	ME, NH, VT, MA, RI, CT	86	26	86	0	198
20	MD; DC; VA - Northern	68	28	72	0	168
27	Nevada	101	25	19	0	145
28	NJ, PA, DE	72	51	222	0	345
35	Oregon	56	12	23	0	91
41	Utah	21	13	47	0	81
42	Virginia	53	26	31	0	110
45	Wisconsin	14	11	2	0	27
54	Chicago	35	42	68	0	145
Wave 2	Subtotal	738	319	501	16	1574
4	Arkansas	41	40	58	0	139
12	Idaho	18	6	0	0	24
15	Iowa	17	20	5	0	42
16	Kansas	33	9	148	0	190
17	Kentucky	16	22	7	0	45
18	Louisiana	89	59	49	5	202
22	Minnesota	81	19	25	0	125
24	Missouri	38	15	15	0	68
25	Montana	26	0	0	0	26
26	Nebraska	12	11	18	0	41
32	North Dakota	13	1	1	0	15
34	Oklahoma	41	13	15	0	69
38	South Dakota	14	0	0	0	14
39	Tennessee	46	40	21	11	118
40	TX - Dallas	45	21	39	0	105
44	West Virginia	4	5	8	0	17
46	Wyoming	1	1	4	0	6
47	Puerto Rico	69	6	8	0	83
48	USVI	23	0	0	0	23

APPENDIX 2

800 MHz Transition Administrator, LLC

Public Safety		Channel 1-120	Public Safety Expansion Band	NPSPAC Channel	SE-ESMR ESMR Band	Total
49	TX - Austin	13	14	47	0	74
51	TX - Houston	46	14	31	0	91
52	TX - Lubbock	52	3	2	0	57
Wave 3	Subtotal	413	250	610	250	1523
1	Alabama	10	29	19	58	116
9	Florida	214	88	262	38	602
10	Georgia	55	33	48	85	221
23	Mississippi	25	24	14	49	112
31	North Carolina	69	40	131	7	247
37	South Carolina	40	36	136	13	225
Wave 4	Subtotal	857	368	1240	0	2465
2	Alaska*	39	8	1	0	48
3	Arizona*	89	30	55	0	174
5	CA - South*	138	122	292	0	552
21	Michigan*	61	2	255	0	318
29	New Mexico*	29	5	9	0	43
30	NY - Albany*	95	69	178	0	342
33	Ohio*	101	38	118	0	257
36	Pennsylvania*	13	21	150	0	184
43	Washington*	161	26	137	0	324
50	TX - El Paso*	22	5	2	0	29
53	TX - San Antonio*	19	18	21	0	58
54	MI portion of Chicago*	9	8	18	0	35
55	New York - Buffalo*	81	16	4	0	101
Total for Waves 1-4		2899	1433	3378	266	7976

Notes:

- a. Data for Channel 1-120 call signs does not include 87 call signs in Wave 1 that were under contract with Nextel prior to the start of reconfiguration and that are not going to be submitted to the TA for review and approval.
- b. Data includes call signs in the international border area. Data may change depending on treaty negotiation outcomes.
- c. The current population of call signs will be reduced by any call signs that cancel without a Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement (FRA), and incremented for any call signs added through pending applications. Licensees may independently cancel licenses or let them expire without entering into a FRA. TA, Nextel and industry outreach efforts may also contribute to encouraging licensees not longer using licenses to unilaterally cancel them.

APPENDIX 3

Status of Reconfiguration for Licensees in Channels 1-120: Key Milestones Completed by Number of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreements, Per Wave, Per Region

(follows)

Milestones for Reconfiguration of Channel 1-120, Per Region, Per Wave, as of July 31st, 2005

Public Safety Region	Number of FRAs - Population	Nextel Initiated Contact with Licensee	Nextel and Licensee Reach Pre-Contract Agreement	Nextel Submits Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement to TA	TA Approves Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement	Reconfiguration Certification Verified by TA
		Number of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreements (FRAs)				
Wave 1	390	377	111	34	26	0
Multiregion	47	44	9	0	0	0
6	47	46	12	6	5	0
7	16	16	7	1	1	0
8	39	38	9	3	2	0
11	17	16	11	2	1	0
13	22	22	3	0	0	0
14	14	13	2	1	1	0
19	29	29	4	2	1	0
20	22	22	3	0	0	0
27	26	26	14	1	1	0
28	26	26	7	1	0	0
35	23	22	6	4	4	0
41	7	7	6	3	2	0
42	21	21	7	5	4	0
45	10	8	7	4	4	0
54	24	21	4	1	0	0
Wave 2	256	193	49	19	17	0
Multiregion	29	24	5	0	0	0
4	12	1	0	0	0	0
12	7	7	4	0	0	0
15	5	5	1	1	1	0
16	12	11	4	3	2	0
17	13	13	5	1	1	0
18	33	0	0	0	0	0
22	40	39	4	1	1	0
24	16	15	4	2	2	0
25	3	2	1	1	1	0
26	5	5	2	2	2	0
32	1	1	0	0	0	0
34	3	3	0	0	0	0
38	1	1	0	0	0	0
39	22	19	11	8	7	0
40	15	14	2	0	0	0
44	3	2	0	0	0	0
46	0	0	0	0	0	0
47	8	5	1	0	0	0
48	4	4	0	0	0	0
49	4	4	2	0	0	0
51	13	12	1	0	0	0
52	7	6	2	0	0	0
Wave 3	182	15	10	4	4	0
Multiregion	6	1	0	0	0	0
1	4	0	0	0	0	0
9	94	10	6	4	4	0
10	36	0	0	0	0	0
23	9	0	0	0	0	0
31	23	1	1	0	0	0
37	10	3	3	0	0	0
Wave 4	163	16	6	2	1	0
Multiregion	10	2	2	0	0	0

Public Safety	Number of FRAs -	Nextel Initiated Contact with Licensee	Nextel and Licensee Reach Pre-Contract Agreement	Nextel Submits Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement to TA	TA Approves Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement	Reconfiguration Certification Verified by TA
2	10	1	0	0	0	0
3	37	3	1	0	0	0
5	26	0	0	0	0	0
21	3	0	0	0	0	0
29	12	3	1	0	0	0
30	7	3	1	1	0	0
33	18	0	0	0	0	0
36	6	2	0	0	0	0
43	9	2	1	1	1	0
50	12	0	0	0	0	0
53	7	0	0	0	0	0
54	0	0	0	0	0	0
55	6	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	991	601	176	59	48	0

Notes:

- a. Nextel is data source for the first three columns. The figures have not been verified by the TA.
b. Current population of FRAs will be adjusted during the reconfiguration process.

APPENDIX 4

Status of Reconfiguration for Licensees in Channels 1-120: Key Milestones Completed by Number of Call Signs, Per Wave, Per Region

(follows)

Status of Reconfiguration for Licensees in Channels 1-120, Per Region, Per Wave, as of July 31, 2005
(Achieved Milestones by Number of Call Signs)

Public Safety Region	PSR Name	Number of Call Signs - Current Population	Nextel Initiated Contact with Licensee	Nextel and Licensee Reach Pre-Contract Agreement	Nextel Submits Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement to TA	TA Approves Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement	Call Signs with Reconfiguration Applications Submitted to FCC	Call Signs with Reconfiguration Applications Granted	Nextel Clears Frequencies	Incumbent Clears Frequencies	Call Signs with Surrender Applications Submitted to FCC	Call Signs with Surrender Applications Granted	Reconfiguration Certification Verified by TA
Wave 1	Subtotal	891	832	140	43	35	22	4	8	2	4	0	0
6	CA - North	116	115	13	6	5	4	1	2	1	0	0	0
7	Colorado	27	27	7	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
8	NY - Metro (CT, NJ, NY, PA)	99	99	13	3	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
11	Hawaii	69	64	18	2	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0
13	Illinois	45	45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
14	Indiana	29	27	3	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
19	ME, NH, VT, MA, RI, CT*	86	60	4	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
20	MD, DC, VA - Northern	68	68	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
27	Nevada	101	88	32	6	6	6	0	0	0	0	0	0
28	NJ, PA, DE	72	70	8	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
35	Oregon	56	52	10	5	5	4	1	2	1	0	0	0
41	Utah	21	22	8	3	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
42	Virginia	53	47	10	8	7	3	0	4	0	3	0	0
45	Wisconsin	14	10	7	4	4	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
54	Chicago	35	38	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wave 2	Subtotal	738	471	101	27	25	15	1	9	0	1	0	0
4	Arkansas	41	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
12	Idaho*	18	14	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
15	Iowa	17	14	4	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
16	Kansas	33	32	21	3	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
17	Kentucky	16	16	7	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
18	Louisiana	89	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
22	Minnesota*	81	75	5	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
24	Missouri	38	37	5	2	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
25	Montana*	26	10	4	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
26	Nebraska	12	12	3	3	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
32	North Dakota*	13	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
34	Oklahoma	41	26	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
38	South Dakota	14	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
39	Tennessee	46	42	19	12	11	9	1	8	0	0	0	0
40	TX - Dallas	45	35	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
44	West Virginia	4	3	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0
46	Wyoming	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
47	Puerto Rico	69	20	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
48	USVI	23	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
49	TX - Austin	13	13	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
51	TX - Houston	46	41	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
52	TX - Lubbock	52	39	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wave 3	Subtotal	413	108	13	4	4	2	2	0	0	0	0	0
1	Alabama	10	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9	Florida	214	80	7	4	4	2	2	0	0	0	0	0
10	Georgia	55	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
23	Mississippi	25	5	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
31	North Carolina	69	12	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
37	South Carolina	40	9	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wave 4	Subtotal	857	84	21	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

APPENDIX 4

800 MHz Transition Administrator, LLC

Public Safety		Number of Call Signs - Current Population	Nextel Initiated Contact with Licensee	Nextel and Licensee Reach Pre-Contract Agreement	Nextel Submits Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement to TA	TA Approves Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement	Call Signs with Reconfiguration Applications Submitted to FCC	Call Signs with Reconfiguration Applications Granted	Nextel Clears Frequencies	Incumbent Clears Frequencies	Call Signs with Surrender Applications Submitted to FCC	Call Signs with Surrender Applications Granted	Reconfiguration Certification Verified by TA
2	Alaska*	39	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3	Arizona*	89	28	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5	CA - South*	138	6	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
21	Michigan*	61	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
29	New Mexico*	29	13	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
30	NY - Albany*	95	8	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
33	Ohio*	101	3	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
36	Pennsylvania*	13	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
43	Washington*	161	9	3	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
50	TX - El Paso*	22	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
53	TX - San Antonio*	19	8	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
54	MI portion of Chicago*	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
55	New York - Buffalo*	81	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total for Waves 1-4		2899	1495	275	76	65	39	7	17	2	5	0	0

Notes:

- a. Data for Channel 1-120 call signs does not include 87 call signs in Wave 1 that were under contract with Nextel prior to the start of reconfiguration and that are not going to be submitted to the TA for review and approval.
- b. Data includes call signs in the international border area. Data may change depending on treaty negotiation outcomes.
- c. The current population of call signs will be reduced by any call signs that cancel without a Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement (FRA), and incremented for any call signs added through pending applications. Licensees may independently cancel licenses or let them expire without entering into a FRA. TA, Nextel and industry outreach efforts may also contribute to encouraging licensees not longer using licenses to unilaterally cancel them.

APPENDIX 5

Status of Reconfiguration Contract Review, Per Wave, Per Region

(follows)

TA Reconfiguration Contract Review Timeframes, Per Wave, Per Region, as of July 31, 2005

Public Safety Region	PSR Name	1-5 Days from Receipt	6-10 Days from Receipt	11-15 Days from Receipt	16-20 Days from Receipt	21 Days or More from Receipt	TOTAL
Number of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreements (FRAs)							
Wave 1	Subtotal	24	2	0	0	0	26
6	CA - North	5	0	0	0	0	5
7	Colorado	1	0	0	0	0	1
8	NY - Metro (CT, NJ, NY, PA)	2	0	0	0	0	2
11	Hawaii	1	0	0	0	0	1
13	Illinois	0	0	0	0	0	0
14	Indiana	1	0	0	0	0	1
19	ME, NH, VT, MA, RI, CT	1	0	0	0	0	1
20	MD; DC; VA - N	0	0	0	0	0	0
27	Nevada	0	1	0	0	0	1
28	NJ, PA, DE	0	0	0	0	0	0
35	Oregon	4	0	0	0	0	4
41	Utah	2	0	0	0	0	2
42	Virginia	4	0	0	0	0	4
45	Wisconsin	3	1	0	0	0	4
54	Chicago	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wave 2	Subtotal	12	5	0	0	0	17
4	Arkansas	0	0	0	0	0	0
12	Idaho	0	0	0	0	0	0
15	Iowa	1	0	0	0	0	1
16	Kansas	2	0	0	0	0	2
17	Kentucky	0	1	0	0	0	1
18	Louisiana	0	0	0	0	0	0
22	Minnesota	1	0	0	0	0	1
24	Missouri	1	1	0	0	0	2
25	Montana	1	0	0	0	0	1
26	Nebraska	2	0	0	0	0	2
32	North Dakota	0	0	0	0	0	0
34	Oklahoma	0	0	0	0	0	0
38	South Dakota	0	0	0	0	0	0
39	Tennessee	4	3	0	0	0	7
40	TX - Dallas	0	0	0	0	0	0
44	West Virginia	0	0	0	0	0	0
46	Wyoming	0	0	0	0	0	0
47	Puerto Rico	0	0	0	0	0	0
48	USVI	0	0	0	0	0	0
49	TX - Austin	0	0	0	0	0	0
51	TX - Houston	0	0	0	0	0	0
52	TX - Lubbock	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wave 3	Subtotal	3	1	0	0	0	4
1	Alabama	0	0	0	0	0	0
9	Florida	3	1	0	0	0	4
10	Georgia	0	0	0	0	0	0
23	Mississippi	0	0	0	0	0	0
31	North Carolina	0	0	0	0	0	0
37	South Carolina	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wave 4	Subtotal	1	0	0	0	0	1
2	Alaska*	0	0	0	0	0	0
3	Arizona*	0	0	0	0	0	0
5	CA - South*	0	0	0	0	0	0
21	Michigan*	0	0	0	0	0	0
29	New Mexico*	0	0	0	0	0	0
30	NY - Albany*	0	0	0	0	0	0
33	Ohio*	0	0	0	0	0	0
36	Pennsylvania*	0	0	0	0	0	0
43	Washington*	1	0	0	0	0	1

Public Safety		1-5 Days from Receipt	6-10 Days from Receipt	11-15 Days from Receipt	16-20 Days from Receipt	21 Days or More from Receipt	TOTAL
50	TX - El Paso*	0	0	0	0	0	0
53	TX - San Antonio*	0	0	0	0	0	0
54	MI portion of Chicago*	0	0	0	0	0	0
55	New York - Buffalo*	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total for Waves 1-4		40	8	0	0	0	48

Note * PSR includes international border area. Data may change depending on treaty negotiation outcomes.

APPENDIX 6

TA Fees and Costs

[redacted]